

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/076,907	02/13/2002	Joel S. Douglas	032994-050	1881	
7590 11/18/2003			EXAMINER		
T. Gene Dillahunty BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.			MAIORINO, ROZ		
P.O. Box 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA	22313-1404		3763		
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003	-/4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\wedge	K	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4,	
Advisory Action	10/076,907	DOUGLAS ET AL.		
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Roz Maiorino	3763		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2003 FAILS TO PLATherefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of ther: (1) a timely filed amendr (b); (with appeal fee); or (c)	is application. A proper reply to a nent which places the application in	I	
PERIOD FOR	RREPLY [check either a) or	b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date se ter than SIX MONTHS from the ma WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH the date on which the petition under extension and the corresponding are tened statutory period for reply origin	ling date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP OF CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee uncally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth	e der n in	
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell	lant's Brief must be filed wit	hin the period set forth in	,,	
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37		smissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require f	urther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see No	•			
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicat issues for appeal; and/or	ion in better form for appea	I by materially reducing or simplifying	the	
(d) they present additional claims without ca	nceling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following r	rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		ed in a separate, timely filed amendme	ent	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because		een considered but does NOT place th	е	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which were newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendr explanation of how the new or amended claim	ment(s) a) will not be entons would be rejected is prov	ered or b)□ will be entered and an ided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ows:			
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)		oved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State				

10. Other: ____

RM

Continua on Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/076,90

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant alleges Larson does not teach a Y-shaped connecting hub, however as stated in the rejection the examiner has assumed the housing to be represented by number 3 in figure 1 in Larson and the conneting hub is item 1 in figure 11, and item 1 in figure 11 does demonstrated a Y shpaed conneting hub.

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY SENTER 3700